1	STEVEN G. KALAR Federal Public Defender SHILPI AGARWAL Assistant Federal Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.436.7700 Facsimile: 415.436.7706		
2			
3			
4			
5	Email: Shilpi_Agarwal@fd.org		
6	Counsel for Defendant Pablo Jose Mendoza		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	No. CR 10-00301-011 BLF	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING SENTENCE	
15	PABLO JOSE MENDOZA,	REDUCTION UNDER U.S.S.G. § 1B1.1(b) AND AMENDMENT 782	
16	Defendant.		
17 18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	<b>GREED</b> , by and between the parties acting	
20	through their respective counsel, that:		
21			
22	1. Defendant is making an unopposed motion for modification of his sentence pursuant to		
23	18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).		
24	2. Defendant's original guideline calculation	n was as follows:	
25	Total Offense Level: 31		
26	Criminal History Category: II		
27	Guideline Range: 121 to 151 months		
28			
	3582 STIP, CR 10-301 BLF		

3582 STIP, CR 10-301 BLF DEF. MENDOZA

Mandatory Minimum: 120 months

- 3. Defendant was sentenced to 132 months imprisonment on June 28, 2011.
- 4. According to the Bureau of Prisons, Defendant's current projected release date is January 26, 2021.
- 5. Effective November 1, 2014, this Court may order a modification in defendant's sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c), USSG § 1B1.10(b)(1), and Amendment 782, to the United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual.
- 6. Defendant's revised guideline calculation is as follows:

Total Offense Level: 29

Criminal History Category: II

Guideline Range: 97 to 121 months

Mandatory Minimum: 120 months

- 7. The parties have no reason to dispute the Sentence Reduction Investigation Report submitted to the Court by the Probation Office.
- 8. Based upon the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate that the Court may enter an order reducing Defendant's total term of custody to 120 months, effective November 1, 2015.
- 9. The parties further stipulate that all other aspects of the original judgment order including the length of term of supervised release, all conditions of supervision, fines, restitution, and special assessment remain as previously imposed.
- 10. Defendant stipulates that he waives and does not request a hearing in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 43, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), and *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005).
- 11. Defendant waives his right to appeal the district court's sentence.

1	12.	Accordingly, the parties agree that an amended judgment in accordance with this	
2		stipulation may be entered by the Court in pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and USSG	
3		1B1.10(b)(1), Amendment 782 of the Sentencing Guidelines Manual. A Sentencing	
4		Reduction Investigation Repor	rt and a proposed amended judgment will be submitted to
5		the Court.	I I J J
6		ine Court.	
7		IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
8			
9		July 6, 2015	/s/
10		D.A.WED.	MEL DIDA I HAAC
11		DATED	MELINDA L. HAAG United States Attorney
12			J. DOUGLAS WILSON
			Assistant United States Attorney
13			Northern District of California
14			
15		July 6, 2015	/s/
16		DATED	STEVEN G. KALAR
17			Federal Public Defender
18			SHILPI AGARWAL
			Assistant Federal Public Defender Northern District of California
19			Normem District of Camornia
20			
21			
22		IT IS SO ORDERED.	1
23		R″ ^ <i>Á</i> ÁÉAG€FÍ	Ben Leden heenen
24		DATED	Beth Labson Freeman
25			United States District Judge
26			
27			
28			